

**To:** Muniz, Nuria[Muniz.Nuria@epa.gov]  
**From:** Walczak, Joe (DEQ)  
**Sent:** Wed 8/14/2013 6:31:28 PM  
**Subject:** RE: FOIA of OCA Report and a Question

Nuria,

I'm not sure why [REDACTED] felt it appropriate to misinterpret your email in that way. Sorry that you have to deal with that due to us not getting the OCA report to you on time. It's been a little difficult in getting information from the district and even more difficult in figuring out how to write it up such that it won't cause issues for you down the road. I'm pretty sure that no matter how we document what has occurred, it will likely not satisfy [REDACTED] I am working on finishing up the report and should have it for you by Friday. I will respond to [REDACTED] and let her know that the hold up with this report is on our end.

(Please note my new telephone number)

Joseph Walczak

Environmental Quality Specialist

Michigan Department of Environmental Quality

Remediation and Redevelopment Division

Superfund Section

Site Assessment and

Site Management Unit

517-284-5167

[walczakj@michigan.gov](mailto:walczakj@michigan.gov)

**From:** [REDACTED] Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 14, 2013 10:54 AM  
**To:** Nuria Muniz  
**Cc:** Walczak, Joe (DEQ)

**Subject:** FOIA of OCA Report and a Question

Hi Nuria,

During a recent FOIA of DEQ records we did not see an actual report of progress from Superfund Division to the U.S. EPA from May 2013. Does this report need to be FOIA'd through the EPA? If so, what file should we request? Would it simply be called the OCA Report?

Also, in viewing recent documents, we noted your January 31, 2013 e-mail to Mr. Walczak in which you stated that "obviously it is not the case" that EPA had sent CCRR an incomplete FOIA package. We hope that the record is set straight on this, being that in fact a major portion of the FOIA was \*not\* sent to CCRR, and only through their efforts was this information finally sent.

Yes, human error is something that happens all of the time in an imperfect world and should be accepted graciously. However, we would prefer that the EPA acknowledge this error and not portray the idea that a group of citizens probably did not know what they were talking about.

Thank you for your time and attention to these matters.

CCRR